



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/792,029 | 03/02/2004 | Paritosh Jayant Dhawale | GE.0002 | 1373 |

41963 7590 07/21/2008
RAMIREZ & SMITH
PO BOX 341179
AUSTIN, TX 78734

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

BOR, HELENE CATHERINE

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

3768

| | |
|-------------------|---------------|
| NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-------------------|---------------|

07/21/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pto@isrlaw.com
pto@patent-counselors.com

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/792,029 | Applicant(s) DHAWALE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner HELENE BOR | Art Unit 3768 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HELENE BOR.

(3) MICHAEL G SMITH.

(2) ERIC WINAKUR.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 14 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent Application No. 2003/0004463.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented proposed amendments and discussed how the claims defined over the art of record. The Examiner suggested additional changes to further define the claims over the art of record. The Applicant will take the discussion into consideration when filling a formal response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eric F Winakur/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required